

- The 9th Bar-Ilan University (BIU) Winter School on Cryptography February 18-21, 2019 (lectures' slides and videos

proves that the Prover knows something, so it's a proof whose statement is: "the prover has knowledge of ... Asserting that the Prover knows something means it could output an evidence, a **Witness W** about it, even if it's not expected during normal operations; that's why we also need a new special entity called extractor:

## (sometimes called Non-triviality in this context)

defined as an entity capable -outside the constraints of proof execution if needed- of

## Argument of Knowledge (ARK)

A proof of knowledge with computational soundness, maybe derived from a computational Knowledge Extractor, e.g.: DLP is hard  $\Rightarrow$  computational KE  $\Rightarrow$  computational Soundness

(given that logical implication is transitive, reduction is as well; DLP again just an exampl

A **RO** is an IDEAL function returning a random uniformly-distributed output (but always the same) for a given input. In FS, input includes all transcript's messages up to RO call, because miming the  $\Sigma$  protocol requires the challenge to PROVABLY (to V's benefit) come after its commitment: just a P's random toss wouldn't be enough. Soundness for FS also requires all public data into input, e.g. proof's statement.

Random Oracle by pseudocode rmanent\_array[inputs] not exist { rmanent\_array[inputs] := new random valu

Heuristic side: implementations use convenient (so not ideal) Hash functions as ROs. The security of this choice is commonly accepted but really still matter of research.

• HV CZK for Arthur-Merlin IPs  $\rightarrow$  CZK for Arthur-Merlin IPs

